UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,410	08/05/2003	Jorge A. Groiso	P/1825-11	7948	
	7590 09/20/2007 FABER GERB & SOFFE	EXAMINER			
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036-8403			ARAJ, MICHAEL J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3733		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

					(ሰ			
Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	4			
		10/634,41	0	GROISO, JORGE A.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Michael J.	<u> </u>	3733				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF TH f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve nication. utory period will apply and will rill, by statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATIO nt, however, may a reply be t I expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi cation to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>13 September 2</u>	<u>007</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 28	b)⊠ This action is no	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-20 and 23-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 1-5,21 and 22 is/are rejected	d.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election re	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:							
	Applicant may not request that any object							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to	•						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<i>'</i> —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of			a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority of			ation No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of							
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule	∍ 17.2(a)).	-				
* (See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certif	ied copies not receiv	ved.				
Attachmer	• •							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	TO-948\	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Fer No(s)/Mail Date			I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 13, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kanner et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,767,356).

Kanner et al. disclose a clip that has at least two straight engagement legs (1102, 1104), extending parallel to one another including respective distal bridging tips and respective proximal insertion tips (1102A, 1102B, 1104A and 1104B) as well as a connecting bridge coupled to the distal bridging tips of the two engagement legs that are

Art Unit: 3733

at least two elongated sections (1110) extending along side on another. The elongated section extends along a non-linear trajectory and includes one of a depression and a dome (see Fig. 67). Also disclosed is that the engagement legs and the connecting bridges are constructed from a biocompatible material (Col. 2, Paragraph 1).

Furthermore, the two elongated section includes respective bulges that together form a space of separation between elongated sections. Applying a force to the elongated sections of the clip in an area of the non-linear deformable region causes the proximal insertion tips to pivot which can be seen between figure 68-71. The force is selected from at least one to separate and to unite the two elongated sections. For further clarification the elongated section begins from the point of 1108 and ends at the other side of the clip of 1108 that can be seen in fig. 69. It can be seen that a force is being applied to this part of the elongated section which caused the insertion tips to pivot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanner et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,767,356) in view of Wevers et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,456,006).

Kanner et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the engagement legs being provided with gripping surfaces. Wevers et al. disclose notches (14) designed to

Art Unit: 3733

facilitate gripping when implanted (col. 2 lines 10-13). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have created the device of Kanner et al. with engagement legs having gripping surfaces in view of Wevers et al., in order to have a better grip of the implant.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 13, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to the arguments that Kanner is not suitable for repair of bone tissue and thus no mention of bone tissue is made in Kanner; even though it is not specifically disclosed that this device is used in bone tissue it is still possible to function with it.. Applicant is reminded that an anticipation under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) or 102(e) is established when a single prior art reference discloses, either expressly or under principles of inherency, each and every element of of a claimed invention. RCA Corp. v. Applied Digital Data System, Inc., 730 F.2d 1440, 221 USPQ 385 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Furthermore, it is well settled that the law of anticipation does not require that the reference teach what appeallant is teaching or has disclosed, but only that the claims on appeal "read on" something disclosed in the reference, i.e. all limitation of the claims are found in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 713 F.2d 760, 218 USPQ 781 (Fed. Cir. 1083). Moreover, it is not necessary for the applied reference to expressly disclose or describe a particular element or limitation of a rejected claim word for word as in the rejected claim so long as the reference inherently discloses that element or limitation. Standard Havens Products Inc. v. Gencor Industries Inc., 953 F.2d 1360, 21 USPQ 2d. 1321 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

Also, applicant claims that Kanner's device does not have at least two straight engagement legs extending parallel to one another. It is unclear to how the applicant is interpreting how fig 67 does not show this. The distal half of the legs are parallel to each other (1102 and 1104).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Araj whose telephone number is 571-272-5963. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

(∕∕Ω MJA

EDUARDO C/FOBERT
SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMINER